Application No.: 10/532,810 Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Art Unit: 2857 Attorney Docket No.: 052503

<u>REMARKS</u>

Reconsideration of this application, as presently amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. Claims 1-20 stand rejected.

The Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to

Applicants' representative during the personal interview conducted on October 23, 2008. During

the course of the interview, the rejection under §103 was discussed, emphasizing that none of the

Tsuboi et al. and Teradaira references disclose the claimed "wherein ....said parent device has

a means for issuing a one-time measured value save command to said plural measurement

electronic device units including the own unit...to thereby cause said plural measurement

electronic device units to simultaneously store in the respective memories the current values

being measured by the respective detectors at the time of issuance of the one-time measured

value save command." As a result of the discussion during the interview, Applicants agreed to

add the underlined changes shown above to claim 1 to clarify the invention. The Examiner

agreed that claim 1 with the changes shown above distinguishes over the combination of

references currently applied against the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuboi et

al. (USP 6,263,380, previously cited) in view of Teradaira (USP 6,516,440, previously cited).

For the reasons set forth in detail below, this rejection is respectfully traversed.

- 10 -

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 Attorney Docket No.: 052503

Application No.: 10/532,810

Art Unit: 2857

Initially, as noted above, independent claim 1 has been amended to clarify the storing of

measured values by the plural measurement electronic device units. More particularly, claim 1 was amended to recite that the plural measurement electronic device units "simultaneously store

in the respective memories the current values being measured by the respective detectors at the

time of issuance of the one-time measured value save command."

As discussed during the interview, the Office Action acknowledges that the Tsuboi

reference does not disclose the features related to simultaneously storing in the respective

memories the current values being measured by the respective detectors of the plurality of

measurement electronic device units. The Teradaira reference is relied on to teach "said plural

measurement electronic device units ...simultaneously store in the respective memories the

values measured by the respective detectors at the time of issuance of the one-time measured

value save command."

In particular, based on the comments in the paragraph bridging pages 10 and 11 of the

Office Action, the Examiner considers the copying of data from the RAM 3 to the EEPROM 5 in

response to various trigger events, as taught by Teradaira, to correspond to the following:

"issuing a one-time measured value save command, in response to a request from the external device, to thereby cause a plurality of data to simultaneously store in the

respective memories the respective data at the time of issuance of the one-time

measured value save command." See page 11, lines 8-11 of the Office Action.

However, it is respectfully submitted that Teradaira does not disclose or suggest

"wherein ....said parent device has a means for issuing a one-time measured value save

- 11 -

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Application No.: 10/532,810 Art Unit: 2857

Attorney Docket No.: 052503

command to said plural measurement electronic device units including the own unit...to thereby

cause said plural measurement electronic device units to simultaneously store in the respective

memories the <u>current</u> values <u>being</u> measured by the respective detectors at the time of issuance of

the one-time measured value save command," as presently recited in claim 1.

Teradaira discloses that various sensors (measurement units) monitor the operating

condition of the printer, and that the sensor data is stored directly in a RAM 3. Further,

Teradaira discloses that the "The printer status data in RAM 3, which is generated in response

to the operating conditions detected by the sensors, is sequentially updated...." [Emphasis

added]. See col. 7, lines 7-11. At some time after the sensor data has been stored in RAM 3, a

trigger event in Teradaira causes previously measured values that have been stored in the RAM

3 to be transferred to EEPROM 5 for more permanent storage (that is, the RAM 3 is a volatile

memory, whereas the EEPROM 5 is a non-volatile memory).

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that, unlike the claimed invention, Teradaira does not

disclose or suggest that a one-time measured value save command causes the respective detectors

(i.e., the sensors) to simultaneously store current values being measured by the respective

detectors at the time of issuance of the one-time measured value save command.

In contrast, Teradaira discloses that the values currently being measured by the sensors

(detectors) are sequentially stored in RAM 3. Further, Teradaira is completely silent regarding

simultaneously storing the values currently being measured by sensors at the time the trigger

event is issued. Unlike the claimed invention, the trigger event in **Teradaira** causes previously

- 12 -

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Application No.: 10/532,810

Art Unit: 2857

Attorney Docket No.: 052503

measured values that have first been sequentially stored in the RAM 3 to then be transferred to

the EEPROM 5 at a later time defined by the trigger event.

Further, it is noted that in the Response to Arguments, the Examiner asserts "it would

have been obvious...to modify Tsuboi to include the teachings of Teradaira because data

gathered from a specific sensor and stored simultaneously would have allowed ... ." However,

as discussed above, **Teradaira** does not disclose or suggest simultaneously storing current values

being measured by respective sensors. Teradaira discloses sequentially (i.e., one after the other)

storing sensor data in a (volatile) RAM and then, at a later time in response to a trigger,

transferring the (non-currently being measured) data stored in the RAM to a non-volatile

EEPROM for more permanent storage.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that Teradaira does not alleviate the

deficiencies of Tsuboi, and the combination of references does not result in the claimed

invention. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under §103 are respectfully

requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that all pending claims are in condition for

allowance. A prompt and favorable reconsideration of the rejection and an indication of

allowability of all pending claims are earnestly solicited.

- 13 -

Application No.: 10/532,810 Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111
Art Unit: 2857 Attorney Docket No.: 052503

If the Examiner believes that there are issues remaining to be resolved in this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite and complete prosecution of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Ellin M shouth

William M. Schertler Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 35,348 Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

WMS/dlt